Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas T. Barnsley, Derwood, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on June 7, 1919, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent, to wit, butter fat, had been in whole or in part abstracted therefrom, thus reducing and lowering its quality and strength.

On December 5, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8285. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Richard B. Wagoner. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 537-c.)

On December 5, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Richard B. Wagoner, Manassas, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 16, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quanty of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 5, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8286. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. Mary Jordan. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 538-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Mary Jordan, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 21, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that certain substances, to wit, cottonseed oil and hydrogenated fat, had been substituted in whole or in part for the article, so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 8, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by her to insure her appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8287. Adulteration of vinegar. U. S. * * * v. William Glassman. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 539-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against William Glassman, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 7, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of vinegar which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was deficient in apple solids and acetic acid and was colored with caramel. It was further alleged in the information that the article was an imitation of cider vinegar.

On December 8, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

S2SS. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. William Schlorb. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 540-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against William Schlorb, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 17, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, beef stearin, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 8, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8289. Adulteration of Lima beans, navy beans, prunes, and rice. U. S. * * * v. Pierre M. Bealer. (F. & D. No. 541-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Pierre M. Bealer, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 18, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, quantities of Lima beans, navy beans, prunes, and rice which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the articles was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that they consisted in whole or in part of filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substances.

On December 8, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8290. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Taliaferro S. Pilcher. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 542-c.)

On December 10, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Taliaferro S. Pilcher, Midland, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 5, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed with it so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.